

Exhibit A



701 B Street, Suite 1700 | San Diego, CA 92101
T | 619.338.1100 F | 619.338.1101
www.bholaw.com

FIRM RESUME



Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP focuses in the nationwide prosecution of complex class actions. The firm represents the interests of consumers, insurance policy holders and investors in state and federal trial and appellate courts throughout the country. The principals of Blood Hurst & O'Reardon come from a large firm that represented plaintiffs in class action litigation, where they formed the core of the consumer and insurance practice group. Blood Hurst & O'Reardon's principals have been appointed lead counsel and have held other leadership positions in a wide variety of class action matters.

Timothy G. Blood

Mr. Blood is the firm's managing partner. His practice has focused on complex litigation, including class action litigation, since the early 1990's. Mr. Blood has tried class action cases and is highly regarded in the field of consumer protection law, including California's Unfair Competition Law and Consumers Legal Remedies Act.

Mr. Blood has represented millions of retail consumers, holders of life, automobile and homeowner insurance policies, mortgagors, credit card customers, homeowners, and victims of race discrimination. He practices in both state and federal courts throughout the country, and has represented the interests of consumers formally or informally before the Federal Trade Commission, the California Department of Justice, the California Legislative Analyst's Office and the California Department of Insurance. He has worked with the Federal Trade Commission to obtain record setting recoveries for consumers. In *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.), Mr. Blood's work with the Federal Trade Commission resulted in the largest consumer recovery in a false advertising action in FTC history.

Since 2010, some of Mr. Blood's court-appointed leadership positions include: Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) in *Mullins v. Premier Nutrition Corp.* (N.D. Cal.); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (N.D. Cal.); Class Counsel Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.*, (S.D. Ohio); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Godec v. Bayer Corp.* (N.D. Ohio); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Johns v. Bayer Corp.* (S.D. Cal.); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.); Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Steering Committee member by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the multidistrict litigation *In re Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*; Class Counsel by the district court for the District of Massachusetts in *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.*; Class Counsel in *Serochi v. Bosa Dev. Cal.* by the San Diego Superior Court; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the Los Angeles Superior Court in *In re Toyota Motor Cases*, (Toyota Unintended Acceleration Consolidated Litigation); Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the multidistrict litigation *In re Hydroxycut Mktg. and Sales Practices Litig.*; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Central District of California in *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.*; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*; and Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in *Smith v. Wm. Wrigley, Jr. Co.*



Mr. Blood has acted as lead counsel in a number of “functional food” false advertising class actions, including cases against General Mills and The Dannon Company filed in federal courts around the country. The *Dannon* litigation resulted in the largest settlement in food industry history for false advertising.

He was lead trial counsel in *Lebrilla v. Farmers Ins. Grp., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cnty.) a multistate class action which settled on terms favorable to the class after a month long trial and just before closing arguments. He was also co-lead trial counsel in *In re Red Light Photo Enft Cases* (Cal. Super. Ct. San Diego Cnty.), an action brought on behalf of California motorists.

Mr. Blood has represented millions of purchasers of food, food supplements and over-the-counter drugs arising out of various advertising claims made by manufacturers and retailers. He has also represented owners of motor vehicles in product liability cases and consumer credit and mortgage borrowers against a number of major lending institutions, including Bank of America, Washington Mutual, Countrywide, GMAC and Wells Fargo.

Mr. Blood has extensive experience litigating against life, auto and other insurance carriers on behalf of consumers. His experience litigating against life insurance companies includes representing owners, holders and beneficiaries of industrial life insurance in race discrimination cases (with class periods dating back to the late 1800’s). He also represented those holding traditional life insurance policies in market conduct actions such as the “vanishing premium” life insurance actions. Mr. Blood was responsible for one of only two litigated cases where classes were certified in the vanishing premium series of cases. He was one of the few plaintiffs’ attorneys to obtain class-wide recoveries in the “imitation parts” automobile insurance actions. Insurance companies against whom Mr. Blood has litigated include the American General companies, Farmers Insurance Group of companies, Mercury Insurance Group, Allstate, State Farm, Great Southern Life, Metropolitan Life, United Life Insurance Company, Midland National Life Insurance Company and General American Insurance Company.

Mr. Blood has also represented consumers in traditional false advertising actions, those victimized by so-called “negative option” sales practices, and owners of a variety of different types of faulty computer equipment and software from manufacturers. Some of these retailers and manufacturers include Apple, Dell, IBM, Procter & Gamble, General Mills, The Dannon Company, Bayer, AG, Bosa Development, Kellogg Company and General Dynamics.

Mr. Blood has been involved in a number of precedent-setting appellate decisions in areas which include consumer and insurance law and class action procedure. These appellate decisions include: *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.*, 799 F.3d 497 (6th Cir. 2015) (class certification); *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, 728 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 2013) (consumer protection and banking); *Fitzpatrick v. Gen. Mills, Inc.*, 635 F.3d 1279 (11th Cir. 2011) (class certification, consumer law and false advertising); *Westwood Apex v. Contreras*, 644 F.3d 799 (9th Cir. 2011) (CAFA jurisdiction); *Kwikset Corp. v. Super. Ct. (Benson)*, 51 Cal. 4th 310 (2011) (consumer law and false advertising); *Martinez v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc.*, 598 F.3d 549 (9th Cir. 2010) (banking and preemption); *Troyk v. Farmers Grp., Inc.*, 171 Cal. App. 4th 1305 (2009) (insurance law); *Haw. Med. Ass’n v. Haw. Med. Serv. Ass’n*, 148 P.3d 1179



(Haw. 2006) (health insurance); *McKell v. Wash. Mut. Bank, Inc.*, 142 Cal. App. 4th 1457 (2006) (banking law and consumer law); *Santiago v. GMAC Mortg. Grp., Inc.*, 417 F.3d 384 (3d Cir. 2005) (consumer and banking law); *Lebrilla v. Farmers Grp., Inc.*, 119 Cal. App. 4th 1070 (2004) (automobile insurance and class action procedure); *Moore v. Liberty Nat'l Life Ins. Co.*, 267 F.3d 1209 (11th Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 535 U.S. 1018 (2002) (life insurance and civil rights); *Kruse v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc.*, 383 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 2004) (consumer and banking law); and *Lavie v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, 105 Cal. App. 4th 496 (2003) (consumer law and false advertising).

Mr. Blood has testified before the California State Assembly and State Senate Judiciary Committees, as well as the Assembly and Senate Committees on Banking, Finance & Insurance. He has worked at both the state and federal level with lawmakers and government agencies to shape legislation to protect consumer rights, including lobbying on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 and working to defeat a California state ballot initiative designed to weaken the class action device.

Mr. Blood is a frequent continuing legal education speaker on topics which include complex litigation, class action procedure, consumer fraud, false advertising, financial fraud litigation and insurance litigation. He has been an invited speaker for American Bar Association practice groups, the Practicing Law Institute, University of San Diego School of Law, University of Arizona School of Law, Loyola Law School, the Grocery Manufacturers Association, the American Association of Justice, Consumer Attorneys of California, ALI-ABA, the National Practice Institute, Bridgeport Continuing Education, Law Seminars International, and the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, for which he chairs a multi-day seminar on class action litigation.

Mr. Blood is frequently consulted by the media. He has appeared on Good Morning America, ABC World News Tonight, and major network affiliates on behalf of his clients. He has been interviewed for stories featuring consumer rights issues and his cases by *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *Bloomberg*, Reuters, the Associated Press, *The Los Angeles Times*, National Public Radio, the *Daily Journal*, *Adweek*, the *Los Angeles Daily News*, CNBC, Fox News, the Korean Broadcasting Service and others.

Mr. Blood is an executive officer of the Consumer Attorneys of California, and was the 2015 President of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego. In 2007, he was a finalist for the Consumer Attorneys of California Lawyer of the Year award for his trial work in a multistate class action against Farmers Insurance. He has been named a "Super Lawyer" since 2006 and has achieved an "AV" rating by Martindale Hubbell. In 2014, Mr. Blood was named a "Titan of the Plaintiff's Bar" by the national legal publication Law360. Mr. Blood was elected a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation. Mr. Blood is also the Legislative Column Editor for *Trial Bar News*. Mr. Blood is also a founding member of the San Diego ESI Forum, a group of judges and lawyers devoted to teaching legal professionals in federal and state court about electronic discovery.

Mr. Blood is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the U.S Supreme Court, the United States Courts of Appeal for the Third, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth and Eleventh



Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California, the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, the Northern District of Illinois, and the Eastern District of Michigan. Before starting Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, Mr. Blood was a partner in Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP and a founding partner in the firm now known as Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP. Mr. Blood received his Juris Doctor from George Washington University in 1990 and his Bachelor of Arts with honors in Economics from Hobart College in 1987.

Leslie E. Hurst

Ms. Hurst is a co-founding partner of the firm. Prior to founding the firm, Ms. Hurst was a partner in Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP and an associate at Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP.

Her practice has focused on complex class action lawsuits, including federal multi-district litigation and California Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings, with an emphasis on consumer fraud and insurance cases under California's consumer protection statutes.

Ms. Hurst works in a number of practice areas, including areas focusing on cases against: (1) life insurers for misrepresenting the terms of vanishing premium life insurance; (2) auto insurers for repairs with non-OEM parts, diminished value claims and improper collection of installment service charges; (3) financial institutions for a variety of conduct; (4) insurance companies for race-based discrimination in the sale of small value "industrial" or "burial" insurance policies; (5) consumer goods manufacturers for false and deceptive advertising; (6) real estate developers for fraud and false advertising; and (7) improper collection and over collection of fees from residents by the City of Los Angeles.

The most recent settlements on which Ms. Hurst was instrumental include: *Serochi v. Bosa Dev.* (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cnty.), (\$16.75 million settlement to condominium purchasers for square footage misrepresentations by the developer); *Chakhalyan v. City of Los Angeles* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.), (providing full refunds of overcharges and a revamping of L.A. billing practices); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*, (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$10 million that provided 100% recovery of damages to class members); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Fla.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$8 million involving false advertising of infant formula); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide settlement of \$45 million).

Ms. Hurst is also involved in the firm's appellate practice. She successfully argued before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal in *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, (9th Cir.) and before California's Second District Court of Appeal in *Goodman v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, and before California's Fourth District Court of Appeal in *Guerra v. San Diego Gas & Elec.* Ms. Hurst also briefed the appeals in *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.* (6th Cir.); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (11th Cir.); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.* (9th Cir.); *Garcia v. Sony Comput. Entm't* (9th Cir.); and *Gutierrez v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (9th Cir.).



Between 2003 and 2005, Ms. Hurst took a sabbatical from law and moved to Sri Lanka where she worked for CARE International as the Coordinator for Strategic Planning with an emphasis on development of CARE's long-term strategic plan for the conflict-affected areas.

Ms. Hurst is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California. Ms. Hurst received her Juris Doctor degree from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law in 1995. She earned her Master of Arts degree in Sociology from the University of California, Berkeley and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology (*cum laude*) from the University of San Diego. Ms. Hurst is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, and Consumer Attorneys of California.

Thomas J. O'Reardon II

Mr. O'Reardon is a co-founding partner of the firm. His practice focuses exclusively on complex class action lawsuits involving consumer fraud, insurance fraud and antitrust violations. Mr. O'Reardon received his Juris Doctor degree from the University of San Diego School of Law and his Bachelor of Arts degree in Politics from Wake Forest University. He is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Courts of Appeal for the Sixth, Eighth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California and the Northern District of Illinois.

Prior to founding the firm, Mr. O'Reardon was an associate at Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP. There, Mr. O'Reardon worked on numerous complex class action litigation matters, including actions involving: annuity policies marketed and sold to senior citizens; insurer kickbacks known as "contingent commissions" in the property and casualty insurance brokerage industry; Sherman Act claims against the world's largest manufacturers of random access memory for computers; invasions of credit card holder's rights of privacy; false and deceptive advertising of consumer goods and wireless telephone services; automobile insurers' unlawful practices with respect to installment pay plans; and dangerous and defective products, including recalled children's toys. He was also part of the team representing the California Department of Insurance against five of the largest employee benefit insurance companies for violations relating to their failure to disclose payments of contingent commissions to brokers. As a result of the action, all five defendants agreed to sweeping changes in their disclosure practices.

Some of the actions on which Mr. O'Reardon has worked include: *Rikos v. The Proctor & Gamble Co.* (S.D. Ohio) (certified class action involving false advertising of P&G's Align probiotic, affirmed by the Sixth Circuit); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide settlement of \$45 million involving false advertising of Skechers' Shape-ups toning shoes products); *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.* (D. Mass.) (nationwide settlement of \$25 million involving false advertising of Reebok toning footwear and apparel products); *Dolfo v. Bank of Am.* (S.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving mortgage modification banking practices); *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving false advertising of General Mills' YoPlus yogurt, which resulted in a nationwide settlement of \$8.5



million); *Fitzpatrick v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (S.D. Fla.) (certified class action reviewed and approved by the Eleventh Circuit); *Johns v. Bayer Corp.* (S.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving false advertising of Bayer's One-A-Day multivitamins); *Godec v. Bayer Corp.* (N.D. Ohio) (certified class action involving false advertising of Bayer's One-A-Day multivitamins, which settled on a classwide basis); *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA* (N.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving mortgage modification practices where order granting motion to dismiss was reversed by the Ninth Circuit in a published opinion); *Rosales v. FitFlop USA LLC* (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement of \$5.3 million involving false advertising of toning footwear); *Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (nationwide settlement valued in excess of \$180 million involving monopoly price increases arising out of the merger between Sirius and XM); *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) (settlement of more than \$300 million); *In re Mattel, Inc. [Toy Lead Paint Prods. Liab. Litig.]* (C.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement valued at over \$50 million); *Gemelas v. Dannon Co., Inc.* (N.D. Ohio) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$45 million involving false advertising of Dannon's Activia and DanActive yogurt products); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Fla.) (certified class action involving false advertising of infant formula, which resulted in nationwide settlement in excess of \$8 million); *Smith v. Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co.* (S.D. Fla.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$7 million involving false advertising of Wrigley Eclipse chewing gum and mints); *Duffer v. Chattem, Inc.* (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement of up to \$1.8 million involving false advertising of ACT Total Care mouthwash); *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.* (S.D. Tex.) (settlements of \$7.3 billion); *AOL Time Warner Cases* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (settlements of approximately \$630 million); *Morris v. CBS Broad., Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (nationwide settlement on behalf of purchasers of asbestos-laden children's toys); *In re Aqua Dots Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Ill.) (multidistrict litigation on behalf of purchasers of more than 4 million toxic children's toys); *Berry v. Mega Brands, Inc.* (D.N.J.) (litigation on behalf of purchasers of more than 10 million lethal children's toys); *In re Toyota Motor Cases*, (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (litigation on behalf of consumers who purchased vehicles subject to "sudden unintended acceleration"); and *In re Hydroxycut Mktg. and Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (multidistrict litigation on behalf of purchasers of unsafe and ineffective weight-loss products, which resulted in a nationwide settlement valued in excess of \$20 million). With the exception of the *Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.* litigation, Mr. O'Reardon and/or his firm served as court-appointed Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in each of the above-mentioned class actions. In granting final settlement approval, which included appointing Mr. O'Reardon as Class Counsel, the Court's order in the *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.) action states that Mr. O'Reardon is "vastly experienced" in consumer class action litigation.

Mr. O'Reardon is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, the Consumer Attorneys of California, and a founding member of the CAOC Young Lawyers Division. In 2014 and 2015, Mr. O'Reardon was named a "Super Lawyers Rising Star," a designation provided to less than 2.5 percent of lawyers in California. He has also been a member of, and contributing author for, The Sedona Conference Working Group on Electronic Document Retention and Production. Mr. O'Reardon has been an invited speaker for the University of San Diego School of Law, Consumer Attorneys of California, the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, and the San Diego ESI Forum on topics which include complex litigation, electronic discovery, and the class action settlement process.



Paula R. Brown

Ms. Brown is an associate with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action litigation, including consumer and antitrust cases in federal multi-district litigation and California Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings. Ms. Brown is also involved in the firm's appellate practice.

Ms. Brown received her Juris Doctor degree and graduated *cum laude* from California Western School of Law in 2007 and earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of Washington in 2004. While at California Western, Ms. Brown was a member of the *California Western Law Review* and authored *Parent-Child Relationship Trumps Biology: California's Definition of Parent in the Context of Same-Sex Relationships*, 43 Cal. W. L. Rev. 235 (2006). She is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Courts of Appeal for the Eighth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California and the Northern District of Illinois.

Prior to joining Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, Ms. Brown was an associate at Coughlin Stoa Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP. While there, she represented plaintiffs in a number of complex class action litigation matters involving: price-fixing claims against the world's largest aftermarket auto lighting parts manufacturers and distributors; monopoly claims against the largest seller of portable media players; price fixing claims against containerboard manufacturers; race-discrimination claims against mortgage lenders; and false and deceptive practices in the sale of defective children's products and toys.

Some of the actions on which Ms. Brown has worked include: *Serochi v. Bosa Dev.* (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cnty.), (misrepresentations case); *Dennis v. Kellogg Co.* (nationwide false advertising); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide false advertising); *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.* (D. Mass.) (nationwide false advertising); *Dremak v. Urban Outfitters, Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (consumer privacy); *In re Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (consumer privacy); *In re Hydroxycut Mkt. and Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (false advertising); *In re Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) (monopoly claims); *In re Mattel, Inc. [Toy Lead Paint Prods. Liab. Litig.]* (C.D. Cal.) (nationwide sale of defective product); *In re Aftermarket Auto. Lighting Prods. Antitrust Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (price fixing); *Payares v. JP Morgan Chase & Co.* (C.D. Cal.); *Salazar v. Greenpoint Mortg.* (N.D. Cal.); *Puella v. Citifinancial* (D. Mass.); *Morris v. CBS Broad., Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (defective product); *In re Aqua Dots Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Ill.) (defective product); and *Berry v. Mega Brands, Inc.* (D.N.J.) (defective product).

Ms. Brown is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego and the Consumer Attorneys of California. In 2015, Ms. Brown was named a "Super Lawyers Rising Star," a designation provided to less than 2.5 percent of lawyers in California.



Camille S. Bass

Ms. Bass is an associate with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action cases involving consumer fraud and product liability issues. Ms. Bass received her Bachelor of Science from Arizona State University and her Juris Doctor from St. John's University School of Law in New York, where she was a member of the junior moot court team and was awarded a fellowship in international business transactions with Soochow University in Suzhou, China. During law school Ms. Bass also clerked for the Honorable John C. Rea with the Superior Court of the State of Arizona.

Before joining Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, Ms. Bass was Senior Counsel at Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani in the Commercial Litigation, Environmental and Toxic Tort, and Privacy and Data Security practice groups, and she authored several privacy-related blog posts ('Twas the season for data breaches; To post on Facebook, or not to post; Speedy internet may cost you more than money; and What's up next on the hacking block?).

Prior to joining Gordon & Rees, Ms. Bass was an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro. While there, she worked on complex class actions and multidistrict litigation such as: *In re: Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Practices, and Prod. Liab. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (landmark \$1.6 billion settlement involving consumers who purchased certain Toyota and Lexus models that were subject to sudden unintended acceleration); *Kearney v. Hyundai Motor Am.* (C.D. Cal.) (settlement involving certain Hyundai models with defective occupant classification systems that failed to deploy front passenger airbags in an accident); and *In re: Hyundai and Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (certified class action settlement involving flawed testing methods that lead to overstated MPG estimates on certain Hyundai and Kia models, currently on appeal).

Ms. Bass is a member of the California and Arizona Bars and is licensed to practice before the United States District Courts for the Southern and Central Districts of California and the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. She is also an active member of the Lawyers Club of San Diego, Consumer Attorneys of California, and Consumer Attorneys of San Diego.

Jennifer L. MacPherson

Ms. MacPherson is of counsel with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action litigation. Ms. MacPherson received her Juris Doctor degree from the University of San Diego School of Law in 1997 with a J.D. and an L.L.M in tax and earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in International Business and Marketing from the University of Hawaii in 1994. During law school she was a summer law clerk to the Honorable Walter S. Kirititsu (Ret.) in the Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals and was a research assistant to Professor C. Hugh Friedman author of *California Practice Guide: Corporations*. She is a member of the California Bar and is licensed to practice before the United States District Courts for the Central, Southern and Northern Districts of California.



For over a decade Ms. MacPherson has prosecuted class actions on behalf of consumers, policyholders, investors, employees, and medical practitioners against the nation's largest retailers and manufacturers of consumer products, insurers of homes and automobiles, banks, and employers for violations of federal and state consumer, antitrust, securities and labor laws. During this time she has actively litigated complex class action litigation matters involving: false and deceptive advertising by one of the nation's largest retail mall chains for selling gift cards subject to a monthly service fee in violation of state law; truth in lending claims against a national bank for suspending borrower's home equity lines of credit; breach of contract claims against national lenders for failing to modify borrower's home loans after successful completion of a trial period plan; product defect claims against the world's largest manufacturers of laptops and cell phones; RICO claims against the nation's largest health insurance companies for denying, delaying and reducing payments to health care providers nationwide; privacy claims against national pharmacies for allegedly using prescription information to conduct targeted marketing campaigns on behalf of drug companies; data breach lawsuits against national banks and retailers for failing to properly safeguard consumer's personal information.

Some of these actions include: *Solomon v. Anthem, Inc.* (S.D. Fla.); *In re Sony VAIO Comput. Notebook Trackpad Litig.* (S.D. Cal.); *Horvath v. LG Elecs. MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.*, (S.D. Cal.); *Kazemi v. Westfield Am., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.); *Frost v. LG Elecs. Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.); *Shamrell v. Apple, Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.).